### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 10.01.2003 12.01.2004 PCT/GB2004/000017 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K38/17 Applicant IMPERIAL COLLEGE INNOVATIONS LIMITED This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA: **European Patent Office** 

Ludwig, G

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8698

D-80298 Munich

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10/541526

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000017

## JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 JUL 2005,

	Box	No	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With the I	re anç	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search or index search language and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:						
	C		a sequence listing				
	C	כ	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
	C		in written format				
			in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing:							
		)	contained in the international application as filed.				
	C		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
4.	Additional comments:						

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/000017

_	D = 1	. No. II	Driority		·				
	BOX	k No. II	Priority						
1.	The following document has not been furnished:								
		$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).						
			ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).						
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3. Additional observations, if necessary:									
_		74							
		Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1.	Sta	tement							
	Nov	velty (N)		Yes:	Claims				
				No:	Claims	1-12,15,24-25			
	Inve	entive st	tep (IS)	Yes:	Claims				
				No:	Claims	1-12,15,24-25			
	Ind	ustrial a	pplicability (IA)		Claims	1-37 - cf. text			
				No:	Claims				
2.	Citations and explanations								
	see separate sheet								
_	Во	x No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited					

\_\_\_\_\_

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

#### Re Item V.

The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: DAKIN C L ET AL: "Oxyntomodulin inhibits food intake in the rat" ENDOCRINOLOGY, BALTIMORE, MD, US, vol. 142, no. 10, October 2001 (2001-10), pages 4244-4250, XP002226381 ISSN: 0013-7227

D2: WO 03/022304 - P-document

1. Having regard to *intracerebroventricular* and *hypothalamic paraventricular* application of oxyntomodulin as disclosed in document D1 claims 1-6, 15, and 24-25 are not novel.

This objection can be overcome by insertion into claim 1 of the passage that administration is "peripheral to the brain" as indicated in claim 11.

Accordingly amended claims would be regarded as inventive because, obviously, patients would not be very happy with the mode of administration as disclosed in document D1 and central administration of a drug does not allow to predict if the same pharmacological effect will occur upon peripheral administration.

- 2. Claims 1-2, 6-12 and 24-25 are not novel vis-a-vis P-document D2.
- 3. For the assessment of the present claims 23 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.